arXiv:1409.8184v1 [math.GR] 29 Sep 2014

Totally disconnected locally compact groups with a linear open subgroup

Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace*[1] and Thierry Stulemeijer†[1]

[1]UCLouvain, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

September 25, 2014

Abstract

We describe the global structure of totally disconnected locally compact groups having a linear open compact subgroup. Among the applications, we show that if a non-discrete, compactly generated, topologically simple, totally disconnected locally compact group is locally linear, then it is a simple algebraic group over a local field.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Algebraic t.d.l.c. groups **4**
2.1 Linear algebraic groups 4
2.2 Hereditarily just-infinite groups 5

3 Locally normal subgroups **6**
3.1 Locally C-stable groups 6
3.2 The structure lattice 7
3.3 Radical theories 9

4 Compact subgroups of linear algebraic groups **12**
4.1 The group of abstract commensurators 12
4.2 Decomposition into hereditarily just-infinite factors 15

5 The global structure of locally linear groups **16**
5.1 Proof of the main theorem 16
5.2 Corollaries 19
5.3 Some examples 20

*F.R.S.-FNRS research associate, supported in part by the ERC (grant #278469)
†F.R.S.-FNRS research fellow

1 Introduction

A locally compact group is called **linear** if it admits a continuous faithful finite-dimensional linear representation over a local field. It is called **locally linear** if it has an open subgroup which is linear. The goal of this paper is to study the class of totally disconnected locally compact groups (t.d.l.c. groups for short) that are locally linear. Roughly speaking, our main results ensure that such groups are built out of three kinds of elementary pieces: discrete groups, compact groups, and simple algebraic groups over local fields. In order to be more precise, let us define a **topologically simple algebraic group over a local field** to be a locally compact group isomorphic to $H(k)/Z$, where k is a local field, H is an absolutely simple, simply connected, isotropic algebraic group over k, and Z is the center of $H(k)$ (see §2.1 below for more details on those groups). We also say that a locally compact group is **locally solvable** (resp. **locally abelian**) if it has a solvable (resp. abelian) open subgroup.

We can now state our main result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a t.d.l.c. group having an open compact subgroup which is linear over a local field k. Then G has a series of closed normal subgroups:*

$$\{1\} \trianglelefteq R \trianglelefteq G_1 \trianglelefteq G_0 \trianglelefteq G$$

enjoying the following properties.

The group R is a closed characteristic subgroup and is locally solvable. The group G_0 is an open characteristic subgroup of finite index in G. The quotient group $H_0 = G_0/R$, if non-trivial, has non-trivial closed normal subgroups, say $M_1, \dots, M_m$, satisfying the following properties.

(i) For some $l \leq m$ and all $i \leq l$, the group M_i is a topologically simple algebraic group over a local field k_i, of the same characteristic and residue characteristic as k. In particular M_i is compactly generated and abstractly simple.

(ii) For all $j > l$, the group M_j is compact, h.j.i., and algebraic (in the sense of Definition 4.14) over a local field k_j, of the same characteristic and residue characteristic as k.

(iii) Every non-trivial closed normal subgroup N of H_0 contains M_i for some $i \leq l$, or contains an open subgroup of M_j for some $j > l$.

(iv) The quotient group $H_1 = G_1/R$ coincides with the product $M_1 \dots M_m \cong M_1 \times \cdots \times M_m$, which is closed in H_0. In particular, H_1 is compactly generated. Moreover $H_0/H_1 = G_0/G_1$ is locally abelian.

Notice the apparent analogy with the structure of general Lie groups, whose quotient by their solvable radical is semi-simple. It should however be emphasized that the characteristic subgroup R afforded by Theorem 1.1 is locally solvable, but not solvable in general: indeed, it can contain discrete normal subgroups of G that are non-abelian free groups.

One nevertheless expects that the structure of the normal subgroup R is not too mysterious. In order to make that statement precise, we define the class of **elementary groups** as the smallest class of t.d.l.c. groups that contains all discrete groups, all profinite groups, and is closed under group extensions and directed unions of open subgroups. This class was first defined and investigated by Ph. Wesolek in [20] in the second countable case, and then extended to the general t.d.l.c. case in [19]. We obtain the following consequence of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 1.2. *Let G be a t.d.l.c. group having a compact open subgroup which is linear over a local field k. Then G has a series of closed characteristic subgroups*

$$\{1\} \trianglelefteq A \trianglelefteq G_1 \trianglelefteq G_0 \trianglelefteq G$$

enjoying the following properties.

The group A is elementary, G_0 is open of finite index in G, and the quotient group $H_0 = G_0/A$, if non-trivial, satisfies the following.

(i) H_0 has finitely many minimal closed normal subgroups, say $M_1, \ldots, M_l$, and every non-trivial closed normal subgroup of H_0 contains some M_i.

(ii) Each M_i is a topologically simple algebraic group over a local field k_i, of the same characteristic and residue characteristic as k. In particular M_i is compactly generated and abstractly simple.

(iii) The quotient group $H_1 = G_1/R$ coincides with the product $M_1 \ldots M_l \cong M_1 \times \cdots \times M_l$, which is closed in H_0. Moreover the quotient $G_0/G_1 = H_0/H_1$ is locally abelian; in particular it is elementary.

We will see in due course that the characteristic subgroup A afforded by Corollary 1.2 contains, as expected, the subgroup R afforded by Theorem 1.1.

Notice that when k is of characteristic 0, the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 imply that G is a p-adic Lie group, where p is the residue characteristic of k. The conclusions of Corollary 1.2 are then already known, due to Ph. Wesolek: indeed, they follow from Corollary 1.5 in [21]. Moreover, in that special case, the elementary quotient G_0/G_1 is even finite (the latter is however not true in positive characteristic, see Example 2.7 below). The main novelty of our results is that they hold in *all* characteristics. The key tool allowing for this uniformity is provided by the far-reaching results of R. Pink [14] on compact subgroups of linear algebraic groups.

Another special case of particular interest is when G is assumed to be **topologically simple**, i.e. its only closed normal subgroups are the trivial ones.

Corollary 1.3. *Let G be a t.d.l.c. group having a compact open subgroup which is linear over a local field k. If G is topologically simple, then one of the following holds.*

(a) G is discrete.

(b) G is non-discrete, not compactly generated, and locally solvable.

(c) G is a topologically simple algebraic group over a local field k', of the same characteristic and residue characteristic as k. In particular G is compactly generated and abstractly simple.

The following consequence is immediate.

Corollary 1.4. *Let G be a non-discrete, compactly generated, topologically simple, t.d.l.c. group. If G is locally linear, then G is algebraic: indeed G is a topologically simple algebraic group over a local field.*

A systematic study of the class $\mathscr{S}$ of non-discrete, compactly generated, topologically simple, t.d.l.c. groups has been initiated in [7]. Corollary 1.4 implies that the locally linear members of $\mathscr{S}$ are precisely the algebraic ones, and are thus all known since the latter algebraic groups have been classified by Kneser and Bruhat–Tits.

Another, more specific, application of Corollary 1.4 is the following. *All those locally compact Kac–Moody groups (i.e. complete Kac–Moody groups over finite fields) that are known to be globally non-linear, are also not locally linear: none of their compact open subgroups is linear.* This applies to all irreducible Kac–Moody groups of non-spherical, non-affine type which are either of rank at least three (by [8]) or of rank two, and whose generalized Cartan matrix has -1 as an off-diagonal entry (by [9]).

Finally, we record the following application.

Corollary 1.5. *Let G be a compactly generated, topologically simple, locally compact group. Then G is linear over a (possibly Archimedean) local field if and only if G belongs to one of the following classes:*

- *Finite simple groups.*
- *Simple Lie groups.*
- *Simple algebraic groups over local fields.*

Acknowledgement

We thank Phillip Wesolek for his comments on an earlier version of this paper, and for pointing out that Corollary 1.2 holds without any hypothesis of second countability.

2 Algebraic t.d.l.c. groups

2.1 Linear algebraic groups

Let H be a **linear algebraic k-group**, where k is a field. By definition, this means that H is a smooth affine group scheme of finite type over k. Equivalently, H is (schematically) isomorphic to a smooth Zariski closed subgroup of $GL_{n,k}$. As all algebraic groups used in this paper are linear, we omit this adjective in the sequel.

For $\varphi\colon H \to H_1$ a morphism of algebraic k-groups, we denote the evaluation of φ in a k-algebra A by $\varphi_A\colon H(A) \to H_1(A)$, or sometimes by $\varphi\colon H(A) \to H_1(A)$.

When k is a Hausdorff (i.e. not anti-discrete) topological field, the group $H(k)$ inherits a Hausdorff topology, which does not depend on the embedding into $GL_{n,k}$ (see e.g. [15]). We adopt the convention that any topological statement will refer to that topology, and not to the Zariski topology, unless we explicitly add the prefix Zariski (e.g. Zariski-connected or Zariski-dense). When k is a non-discrete locally compact field, the group $H(k)$ is a locally compact second countable topological group.

A semisimple algebraic k-group H is called **k-simple** if it has no non-trivial Zariski-connected normal algebraic k-subgroup. It is called **absolutely simple** if for any field extension $k \to k'$, the algebraic k'-group $H \times_k k'$ is k'-simple. Equivalently, H is absolutely simple if its root system is irreducible.

The study of Zariski-connected semisimple algebraic groups reduces for the most part to that of absolutely simple ones. Namely, a Zariski-connected, semisimple, simply connected (resp. adjoint) algebraic k-group is the direct product of k-simple, simply connected (resp. adjoint) algebraic k-groups, and each factor is of the form $\mathcal{R}_{k'/k}H$ for some absolutely simple, simply connected (resp. adjoint) algebraic k'-group H, where k' is a finite separable extension of k. Here, $\mathcal{R}_{k'/k}H$ denotes the Weil restriction of H. For a proof of those facts, see e.g. Proposition 6.4.4, Remark 6.4.5 and Example 6.4.6 in [10].

Let H be a Zariski-connected algebraic k-group. As in §6 of [2], we denote by $H(k)^+$ the normal subgroup of $H(k)$ generated by k-rational points of split unipotent k-subgroups of H.

In order to properly understand the definition of an algebraic topologically simple t.d.l.c. group, we need two results, that will also be invoked later on.

Proposition 2.1. *Let k be a local field, let H be a simply connected, k-simple algebraic k-group. Any continuous homomorphism $f\colon H(k) \to G$ to a locally compact group G is a closed map.*

Proof. See Lemma 5.3 of [3]. Note that the assumption there that the target of the map should be second countable is superfluous. □

Theorem 2.2. *Let k be a local field and let H be a k-simple algebraic k-group. Then any proper open subgroup of $H(k)^+$ is compact.*

Proof. See Theorem (T) of [16]. □

Definition 2.3. Let G be a topologically simple t.d.l.c. group. We say that G is **algebraic** if there exists a local field k and a k-simple algebraic k-group H such that G is topologically isomorphic to $H(k)^+/Z(H(k)^+)$.

Note that by the main result of [18], the quotient group $H(k)^+/Z(H(k)^+)$ is abstractly simple. Moreover, the group $H(k)^+$ is compactly generated, as a consequence of Theorem 2.2.

The following theorem (which is a collection of results borrowed from §6 of [2]) shows that in the above definition, one can assume that H is simply connected, absolutely simple and that $H(k) = H(k)^+$. This confirm that Definition 2.3 is consistent with the definition of a topologically simple algebraic group over a local field given in the introduction.

Theorem 2.4. *Let k be a local field and H be a a k-simple algebraic k-group.*

(i) *$H(k)^+$ is trivial if and only if H is anisotropic over k.*

(ii) *Assume that H is isotropic over k. Any central isogeny $f\colon H \to H_1$ induces an isomorphism $H(k)^+/Z(H(k)^+) \to H_1(k)^+/Z(H_1(k)^+)$.*

(iii) *If k' is a finite separable extension of k and H is defined over k', then $(\mathcal{R}_{k'/k}H)(k)^+ \simeq H(k')^+$.*

(iv) *If H is isotropic, simply connected and absolutely simple, then we have $H(k) = H(k)^+$.*

Proof. See §6 of [2]. □

2.2 Hereditarily just-infinite groups

An essential point in the proof of Theorem 5.1 is that the linearity of the open compact subgroup, say U, implies that U has subquotients that are hereditarily just-infinite.

Definition 2.5. A profinite group G is called **just-infinite** if it is infinite and every non-trivial closed normal subgroup of G is of finite index. A profinite group G is called **hereditarily just-infinite** (h.j.i.) if every open subgroup of G is just-infinite.

Theorem 2.6. *Let U be an open compact subgroup of $H(k)$, where H is a k-simple, simply connected algebraic k-group, and k is a local field of residue characteristic p. Then $U/Z(U)$ is a non-virtually abelian h.j.i. virtually pro-p group .*

Proof. First note that U is Zariski-dense in $H(k)$, hence U is infinite and $Z(U) = Z(H(k)) \cap U$. We want to show that if $U_1 \leq U/Z(U)$ is an open subgroup, then it is just-infinite. But the preimage of such a U_1 is an open compact subgroup of $H(k)$ as well, which has the same center than U. Hence it suffices to prove that $U/Z(U)$ is just-infinite and virtually pro-p. Now, this follows directly from the main result of [17].

It remains to show that $U/Z(U)$ is not virtually abelian. But if it was, $H(k)$ would have an open, hence Zariski-dense, abelian open subgroup, contradicting the fact that H is not abelian. □

We emphasize that when $\mathrm{char}(k) > 0$, the hypothesis that H is simply connected is essential in Theorem 2.6. Indeed, as one immediately deduces from [17], the above result does not hold if H is a k-simple group whose universal cover $\pi : \tilde{H} \to H$ is inseparable. Here is an explicit example.

Example 2.7. Consider the group $H = PSL_2$ over the local field $k = \mathbf{F}_2(\!(T)\!)$, and the open compact subgroup $U = PSL_2(\mathbf{F}_2[\![T]\!])$ in $PSL_2(k)$. We insist that we consider PSL_2 as the quotient scheme SL_2/μ_2 (over $\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbf{Z}$), and that $PSL_2(\mathbf{F}_2[\![T]\!])$ denotes the group of $\mathbf{F}_2[\![T]\!]$ rational points of PSL_2, not to be confused with the quotient group $SL_2(\mathbf{F}_2[\![T]\!])/Z(SL_2(\mathbf{F}_2[\![T]\!]))$.

The universal cover is $\pi : SL_2 \to PSL_2$, which is purely inseparable over k. Now, $H(k)^+$ is a closed normal subgroup of H and is equal to $\pi_k(SL_2(k))$ (see §6 of [2]). Let us show explicitly that $H(k)^+ \cap U$ is not open in U. It suffices to consider the sequence

$$h_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + T^{\frac{1+2i}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & (1 + T^{\frac{1+2i}{2}})^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

whose elements are in $H(k) \setminus H(k)^+$ and which converge to the identity.

Let us check that $h_i \in H(k)$. If $k[X_{11}, X_{12}, X_{21}, X_{22}]/\det$ denotes the coordinate ring of SL_2 in its standard coordinates, then the coordinate ring of PSL_2 is the subring of $k[SL_2]$ generated by all products $X_{ij}X_{kl}$ where $i, j, k, l \in \{1, 2\}$. This shows that h_i is indeed in $H(k)$.

3 Locally normal subgroups

The interaction between the local and global structure of general t.d.l.c. groups has become more and more apparent in recent works. In this section, we review some tools from [6] and [20] and use them to establish subsidiary facts that will be needed in the sequel.

3.1 Locally C-stable groups

Let G be a t.d.l.c. group.

Definition 3.1. (1) The **quasi-center** of G, denoted by $QZ(G)$, is the characteristic subgroup of G consisting of all elements whose centraliser is open. More generally, given $H \leq G$, we define the **quasi-centraliser** of H in G, denoted by $QC_G(H)$, to be the subgroup of G consisting of those elements that centralise an open subgroup of H.

(2) A subgroup $K \leq G$ is called **locally normal** if it is compact and normalised by an open subgroup of G.

(3) G is called **locally C-stable** if $QZ(G)$ is trivial and there is no non-trivial abelian locally normal subgroup.

The following property of locally C-stable groups will be needed later.

Proposition 3.2. *Let G be a locally C-stable t.d.l.c. group. Then every locally normal subgroup of G has trivial quasi-center.*

Proof. See [6], Proposition 3.14. □

The locally C-stable assumption is indeed a weakening of the hypotheses that G is a compactly generated and topologically simple, as asserted by the following.

Theorem 3.3. *Let G be a non-discrete topologically simple t.d.l.c. which is compactly generated. Then G is locally C-stable.*

Proof. See [7], Theorem 5.3. □

3.2 The structure lattice

Let G be a t.d.l.c. group.

Definition 3.4. Two subgroups H, K of G are **locally equivalent** if there exists a compact open subgroup U of G such that $H \cap U = K \cap U$. The set of all local equivalence classes having a locally normal representative is called the **structure lattice** of G, and is denoted by $\mathcal{LN}(G)$.

$\mathcal{LN}(G)$ is a lattice in a natural way: the meet operation is the intersection (of any representatives) and the join operation is the product (of well-chosen representatives). Obviously, $[\{e\}]$, the local equivalence class of the trivial subgroup, is the minimum of $\mathcal{LN}(G)$ and we denote it by 0. At the other extreme, the local equivalence class of compact open subgroups of G is the maximum of $\mathcal{LN}(G)$ and we denote it by ∞. We refer the reader to Section 2 of [6] for a more detailed discussion of $\mathcal{LN}(G)$.

An **atom** of $\mathcal{LN}(G)$ is a minimal non-zero element. The following lemma is a first elementary observation about the role of h.j.i. locally normal groups in the structure lattice.

Lemma 3.5. *Let G be a t.d.l.c. group and $\alpha \in \mathcal{LN}(G)$ have a locally normal representative V which is h.j.i. Then α is an atom of $\mathcal{LN}(G)$.*

Proof. First note that by definition, V is not discrete, hence $\alpha \neq 0$.

Let $\beta \in \mathcal{LN}(G)$, and assume that $\beta \leq \alpha$. We want to show that either $\beta = 0$ or $\beta = \alpha$. Let L be a locally normal representative of β (i.e. $\beta = [L]$), so that our assumption translates as $[L \cap V] = [L]$.

Consider an open compact subgroup W of $N_G(L) \cap N_G(V)$. Now, $L \cap W \cap V$ is normal closed in W, hence also in $W \cap V$. But since the latter is just-infinite, we obtain that $L \cap W \cap V$ is either trivial, or open in $W \cap V$, as wanted. □

When G is a product of h.j.i. groups, we can refine the previous lemma as follows.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $G \simeq V_1 \times \cdots \times V_m$ be a profinite group which splits as the direct product of finitely many h.j.i. closed subgroups, none of which is virtually abelian.*

Then the structure lattice $\mathcal{LN}(G)$ is isomorphic to the Boolean algebra of all subsets of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$. Moreover, for a locally normal representative K of an element of $\mathcal{LN}(G)$, there exist $i_1, \ldots, i_k \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that $U_{i_1} \ldots U_{i_k} \leq K \leq V_{i_1} \ldots V_{i_k}$, where U_{i_j} is an open subgroup of V_{i_j} for all j.

Proof. Let $\alpha_i = [V_i]$ be the local equivalence class having representative V_i. Obviously, $\{\alpha_{i_1} \vee \cdots \vee \alpha_{i_k} \mid 1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq m,\ k = 1, \ldots, m\}$ are different elements in $\mathcal{LN}(G)$. We want to show that all elements of $\mathcal{LN}(G)$ are of this form.

Let $\beta \in \mathcal{LN}(G)$, let K be a locally normal representative of β, and consider the projection $\pi_i(K)$ onto the i-th factor V_i. Since K is normalised by an open subgroup of G, it is normalised by an open subgroup of V_i. Furthermore, $\pi_i(K)$ is compact, hence closed. But V_i is h.j.i., so that $\pi_i(K)$ is either trivial or open in V_i. Reordering the V_i's if necessary, we can assume that $\pi_i(K)$ is open in V_i for $i \leq k$, and $\pi_i(K)$ is trivial for $i > k$.

We obviously have that $[K] \leq [V_1] \vee \cdots \vee [V_k]$, and we now prove the reverse inequality. For this, consider $K \cap V_i$, for $i \leq k$ (where V_i is seen as a subgroup of G via the natural injection). It is a locally normal subgroup of V_i, hence it is either trivial or open in V_i. But if $K \cap V_i$ were trivial, we would have $[K, N_{V_i}(K)] \subseteq K \cap V_i = \{e\}$, contradicting $\{e\} \neq [\pi_i(K), \pi_i(K) \cap N_{V_i}(K)] \subseteq [K, N_{V_i}(K)]$. We conclude that for $i \leq k$, $[V_i \cap K] = [V_i]$, so that $[V_1] \vee \cdots \vee [V_k] = [(N_{V_1}(K) \cap K) \ldots (N_{V_k}(K) \cap K)] \leq K$, as wanted.

For the last assertion, note that if K is a locally normal representative of an element in $\mathcal{LN}(G)$, then the second paragraph of this proof shows that $\pi_i(K)$ is open in V_i for $i \in \{i_1, \ldots, i_k\}$ and is trivial otherwise, so that $K \leq V_{i_1} \ldots V_{i_k}$. But the third paragraph shows then that $N_{V_{i_j}}(K) \cap K$ is open in V_{i_j} for all j, and that $(N_{V_{i_1}}(K) \cap K) \ldots (N_{V_{i_k}}(K) \cap K) \leq K$, as wanted. □

We now consider profinite groups that are virtually a direct product of h.j.i. groups.

Lemma 3.7. *Let G be a profinite group without non-trivial finite normal subgroup, and having an open subgroup $G_0 \cong V_1 \times \cdots \times V_m$ which splits as the direct product of finitely many h.j.i. closed subgroups, none of which is virtually abelian.*

Then G has a characteristic open subgroup $G_1 \simeq W_1 \times \cdots \times W_m$, contained in G_0, which splits as the direct product of finitely many h.j.i. closed subgroups, where W_i is an open subgroup of V_i.

Proof. We first claim that G is locally C-stable. Indeed, every h.j.i. group which is not virtually abelian has trivial quasi-center, by Proposition 5.1 from [1]. Therefore, the quasi-center of G must be finite, hence trivial by hypothesis. The fact that the only abelian locally normal subgroup of G is the trivial one follows from Lemma 3.6. The claim stands proven.

Let now $\alpha_i = [V_i] \in \mathcal{LN}(G)$. Since the quasi-centraliser $QC_G(V_i)$ depends only on the local class α_i, we denote it by $QC_G(\alpha_i)$, following the convention adopted in [6]. Next we set $L_i = QC_G(QC_G(\alpha_i))$. Since G is locally C-stable, we may invoke Lemma 3.11(ii) from [6], which shows that $L_i = C_G(C_G(V_i))$. Thus, the subgroup L_i is closed in G. By Lemma 3.6, the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ permutes the α_i and, hence, permutes the closed subgroups L_i.

We now claim that $[L_i] = \alpha_i$ and that L_i commutes with L_j for all $i \neq j$. Note that $V_i \subseteq L_i = C_G(C_G(V_i))$, hence we just have to show that V_i is open in L_i. Set $P_i := \prod_{j \neq i} V_j$. Then $G \geqslant L_i P_i \geqslant V_i P_i = G_0$. But G_0 is open in G, so that the index of V_i in L_i is finite,

as wanted. For the second assertion of the claim, starting from $V_i \subseteq C_G(V_j)$ (which is true for all $i \neq j$), we get $L_i = C_G(C_G(V_i)) \subseteq C_G(C_G(C_G(V_j))) = C_G(V_j)$. So that finally, $C_G(L_i) \supseteq C_G(C_G(V_j)) = L_j$, as was to be shown.

Therefore the subgroup $G_2 \leq G$ generated by the L_i's is characteristic, open, and isomorphic to the direct product $L_1 \times \cdots \times L_m$. Since each L_i is h.j.i., it has a basis of identity neighbourhoods consisting of characteristic subgroups. Therefore, the same is true for G_2, and therefore G_2 has a characteristic subgroup G_1 contained in G_0, which has the desired form. □

Building upon Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6, we obtain the following more technical fact.

Lemma 3.8. *Let G be a profinite group having a closed normal subgroup $\Gamma \simeq V_1 \times \cdots \times V_m$ which splits as the direct product of finitely many h.j.i. closed subgroups, none of which is virtually abelian. Assume further that G contains no non-trivial abelian locally normal subgroup, and that G/Γ is abelian.*

Then for all $0 \neq \beta \in \mathcal{LN}(G)$, there exists $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that $[V_i] \leq \beta$. In particular, the set of all the atoms of $\mathcal{LN}(G)$ is precisely $\{[V_1], \ldots, [V_m]\}$.

Proof. We first have to check that V_i has an open normaliser in G. But G acts on the atoms of $\mathcal{LN}(\Gamma)$, which is a finite set by Lemma 3.6. Also, in view of the last assertion of that lemma and the fact that Γ is normal, $[gV_ig^{-1}] = [V_i]$ if and only if $g \in N_G(V_i)$. Hence, $N_G(V_i)$ is of finite index in G. As it is also closed, because V_i is, we conclude that it is open, as wanted.

Now let β be a non-trivial element of $\mathcal{LN}(G)$ and set $\alpha_i = [V_i]$. Recall that by Lemma 3.5, the α_i's are atoms in $\mathcal{LN}(G)$. We want to show that $\beta \geq \alpha_i$ for some i, and we now separate the proof into two cases.

Case 1. $\beta \wedge (\alpha_1 \vee \cdots \vee \alpha_m) = 0$.

As is usual in this situation, we can find W open compact in G and some locally normal representative K (resp. U_i) of β (resp. α_i) such that K and the U_i are normal in W. Now, the assumption translates as $K \cap (U_1U_2 \ldots U_m) = F$, a finite subgroup. Shrinking K again if necessary, we may assume that $K \cap (U_1U_2 \ldots U_m) = \{e\}$. We may also assume that $U_i \leq V_i$.

Hence, we have continuous injective maps $K \to W/(U_1U_2 \ldots U_m) \to G/\Gamma$. But since the latter is abelian, so is K. In view of the hypothesis, we conclude that $\beta = 0$, a contradiction.

Case 2. $\beta \wedge (\alpha_1 \vee \cdots \vee \alpha_m) \neq 0$.

Using Lemma 3.6, we conclude that for some i, we have $\alpha_i \leq \beta \wedge (\alpha_1 \vee \cdots \vee \alpha_m) \leq \beta$, as wanted. □

3.3 Radical theories

In this section, we review the definition and basic properties of two characteristic subgroups of a general t.d.l.c. group. The first is the $[A]$-regular radical $R_{[A]}(G)$, defined in [6], and the second is the elementary radical $R_{\mathcal{E}}(G)$, defined in [20] under the assumption that G is second countable.

Definition 3.9. Let $[A]$ be the smallest class of profinite groups, stable under isomorphism, such that the following conditions hold:

(a) $[A]$ contains all abelian profinite groups and all finite simple groups.

(b) If $U \in [A]$ and K is a closed normal subgroup of U, then $K \in [A]$ and $U/K \in [A]$.

(c) Given a profinite group U that is a (not necessarily direct) product of finitely many closed normal subgroups belonging to $[A]$, then $U \in [A]$.

Given a profinite group U, a subgroup K of U is called $[A]$**-regular** in U if for every closed normal subgroup L of U not containing K, the image of K in the quotient U/L contains a non-trivial locally normal subgroup of U/L belonging to the class $[A]$. Given a t.d.l.c. group G and a closed subgroup H, we say that H is $[A]$**-regular** in G if $H \cap U$ is $[A]$-regular in U for all open compact subgroups U of G.

The $[A]$**-regular radical** of a t.d.l.c. group G is defined to be the characteristic subgroup identified by the following result.

Theorem 3.10. *Let G be a t.d.l.c. group. Then G has a closed characteristic subgroup $R_{[A]}(G)$, which is characterised by either of the following properties:*

(i) $R_{[A]}(G)$ is the largest subgroup of G that is $[A]$-regular.

(ii) $R_{[A]}(G)$ is the smallest closed normal subgroup N such that G/N is locally C-stable.

Proof. See Theorem 6.10 in [6]. □

We now move on to elementary groups following [20] and [19]. We first restrict to t.d.l.c. groups that are second countable (t.d.l.c.s.c. for short).

Definition 3.11. The class $\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{sc}}$ is defined as the smallest class of t.d.l.c.s.c. groups such that

(a) $\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{sc}}$ contains all metrisable profinite groups and all countable discrete groups.

(b) $\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{sc}}$ is closed under taking group extensions.

(c) $\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{sc}}$ is closed under countable directed unions of open subgroups.

A key feature, due to Ph. Wesolek, is the existence of a radical belonging to the class $\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{sc}}$, asserted in the following.

Proposition 3.12. *Let G be a t.d.l.c.s.c. group. Then G has a largest closed normal subgroup $\mathrm{Rad}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{sc}}}(G)$ which belongs to the class $\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{sc}}$.*

Proof. See [20], Proposition 7.4. □

The relation between the two radicals introduced above is elucidated by the following.

Proposition 3.13. *Let G be a t.d.l.c.s.c. group. Then $R_{[A]}(G) \leq \mathrm{Rad}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{sc}}}(G)$. In particular, $G/\mathrm{Rad}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{sc}}}(G)$ is locally C-stable, and $R_{[A]}(G)$belongs to $\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{sc}}$.*

Proof. See [20], Corollary 9.12 and 9.13. □

We now briefly explain how one can drop the second countability assumption, following [19]. This discussion was suggested to us by Ph. Wesolek.

Definition 3.14. The class of **elementary groups** is the smallest class $\mathcal{E}$ of t.d.l.c. groups such that

(a) $\mathcal{E}$ contains all profinite groups and all discrete groups.

(b) $\mathcal{E}$ is closed under taking group extensions.

(c) $\mathcal{E}$ is closed under directed unions of open subgroups.

It should be stressed that our choice of terminology is slightly different from Wesolek's: what he called the class of *elementary groups* and denoted by $\mathcal{E}$ in the references [20] and [19] and what is denoted by $\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{sc}}$ in the present paper. Moreover, the class denoted here by $\mathcal{E}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{E}^*$ in [19]. We believe that our choice is natural in the present context, and should not cause any confusion.

The inclusion $\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{sc}} \subset \mathcal{E}$ is clear from the definitions. Conversely, we have the following.

Lemma 3.15. *Let $G \in \mathcal{E}$. If G is secound countable, then $G \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{sc}}$.*

Proof. This is a particular case of [19], Proposition 4.3. □

This lemma allows us to deduce that many properties of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{sc}}$ generalise to $\mathcal{E}$, as follows (see also Theorem 3.18 below).

Proposition 3.16. *Let G be a t.d.l.c. group.*

(i) Let H be a dense normal subgroup of G such that $H \in \mathcal{E}$. Then $G \in \mathcal{E}$.

(ii) If G is locally solvable, then $G \in \mathcal{E}$.

Proof. Write $G = \bigcup_{i \in I} O_i$ as a directed union of compactly generated open subgroups. By [12], for each $i \in I$, there exists a compact normal subgroup $K_i \leq O_i$ such that O_i/K_i is a t.d.l.c.s.c. group.

(i) Let now H be a dense normal subgroup of G such that $H \in \mathcal{E}$. Then $(O_i \cap H)K_i/K_i$ is a dense normal subgroup of O_i/K_i. Moreover, by Lemma 3.15, it belongs to $\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{sc}}$. In the second countable case, the desired result is known, namely $O_i/K_i \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{sc}}$ by [20, Theorem 1.4]. Hence, for each i, the group O_i is compact-by-elementary, hence elementary. Therefore G is itself elementary, as required.

(ii) For each i, the groups O_i and O_i/K_i are locally solvable. By [20, Theorem 8.1] we have $O_i/K_i \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{sc}}$. Therefore O_i is compact-by-elementary, and we conclude as in the proof of (i). □

The **elementary radical** is defined to be the characteristic subgroup identified by the following result, which is a straightforward adaptation of [20, Proposition 7.4].

Theorem 3.17. *Let G be a t.d.l.c. group. Then G has a largest closed normal subgroup* $\mathrm{Rad}_{\mathcal{E}}(G)$ *which is elementary.*

Proof. Let N_i be an ascending chain of closed normal subgroups of G that are elementary. Let $U < G$ be a compact open subgroup. Then N_iU is elementary for each i, hence so is the union $O = \bigcup_i N_iU$. It follows that $\overline{\bigcup_i N_i} \leq O$ is elementary, since $\mathcal{E}$ is closed under taking closed subgroups in view of [19, Theorem 4.6(b)]. It follows from Zorn's lemma that the collection of elementary closed normal subgroups of G has maximal elements. In fact there is a unique such, since the closure of the product of any two of them is itself elementary by Proposition 3.16(i). □

Finally, we extend Theorem 3.13 to the general case.

Theorem 3.18. *Let G be a t.d.l.c. group. Then $R_{[A]}(G) \leq \mathrm{Rad}_{\mathcal{E}}(G)$. In particular, $G/\mathrm{Rad}_{\mathcal{E}}(G)$ is locally C-stable, and $R_{[A]}(G)$ is elementary.*

Proof. Write $G = \bigcup_{i\in I} O_i$ as a directed union of compactly generated open subgroups. By [12], for each $i \in I$, there exists a compact normal subgroup $K_i \leq O_i$ such that O_i/K_i is a t.d.l.c.s.c. group.

For each $i \in I$, we have $O_i \cap R_{[A]}(G) = R_{[A]}(O_i)$ in view of [6, Proposition 6.14(ii)]. Let $\pi_i \colon O_i \to O_i/K_i$ be the projection. Since $[A]$-regularity is stable under quotients by closed normal subgroups, we have $R_{[A]}(O_i) \leq \pi_i^{-1}(R_{[A]}(O_i/K_i))$. Notice that $R_{[A]}(O_i/K_i)$ is elementary by Theorem 3.13, hence so is $\pi_i^{-1}(R_{[A]}(O_i/K_i))$, and thus also $R_{[A]}(O_i)$ by [19, Theorem 4.6(b)]. We conclude that $R_{[A]}(G)$ is a directed union of open elementary subgroups, and is thus elementary, as required. □

4 Compact subgroups of linear algebraic groups

As outlined in the introduction, Theorem 5.1 relies essentially on the results obtained by Pink in [14]. The goal of this section is to review those results and to adapt them to our needs.

4.1 The group of abstract commensurators

Another important object used in the local-to-global transfer lying behind our main results is the group of abstract commensurators of a profinite group, first defined and investigated by Barnea–Ershov–Weigel in [1].

Definition 4.1. Let U be a profinite group. The **group of abstract commensurators** of U, denoted $\mathrm{Comm}(U)$, is defined as follows. Consider the set E of isomorphisms $\alpha : U_1 \to U_2$, where the U_i's are open compact subgroups of U and α is a topological isomorphism. Define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on E by $\alpha \sim \beta$ if and only if they coincide on some open subgroup of U. We set $\mathrm{Comm}(U) = E/\sim$.

As explained in [1], the group of abstract commensurators of an open compact subgroup of a simple algebraic group is described by Corollary 0.3 of Pink's paper [14]. Let us record that result explicitly.

Theorem 4.2 (Corollary 0.3 in [14])**.** *Let G (resp. G') be an absolutely simple, simply connected alegebraic group over a local field k (resp. k'). Let U (resp. U') be an open compact subgroup of G (resp. G'). Then for any topological isomorphism $\alpha : U \to U'$, there exists a unique isomorphism of algebraic groups $G \to G'$ over a unique isomorphism of topological fields $k \to k'$ such that the induced morphism $G(k) \to G(k')$ extends α.*

Given a topological group G, we denote by $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ its group of bi-continuous automorphisms.

Corollary 4.3. *Let G be an absolutely simple, simply connected algebraic group over a local field k, and let U be an open compact subgroup of $G(k)$. Then $\mathrm{Comm}(U)$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathrm{Aut}(G(k))$.*

Proof. Indeed, an element of $\mathrm{Comm}(U)$ uniquely extends to an automorphism of $G(k)$ by the previous theorem. Conversely, any automorphism α of $G(k)$ comes from such an extension, simply by looking at the restriction of α to $U \cap \alpha^{-1}(U)$. □

A priori, the group $\mathrm{Comm}(U)$ is just an abstract group, but as discussed in [1], there are several ways to endow it with a group topology. The identification provided by Corollary 4.3 suggests that, in our situation, the natural topology on $\mathrm{Comm}(U)$ should be the one which coincides with the Braconnier topology on $\mathrm{Aut}(G(k))$. Let us now address the details, following Section 7 from [1].

Definition 4.4. A profinite group U is called **countably characteristically based** if it has a countable basis of neighbourhood of the identity consisting of characteristic subgroups. A profinite group is called **hereditarily countably characteristically based** (h.c.c.b.) if every open subgroup of U is countably characteristically based.

Example 4.5. Let G be a k-simple, simply connected algebraic k-group, where k is a local field, and let U be an open compact subgroup of $G(k)$. Then U is h.c.c.b. Indeed, U is a h.j.i. virtually pro-p group (see Theorem 2.6), hence is finitely generated. And as explained in [1], section 7.1, every finitely generated profinite group is h.c.c.b. Another way to see that U is h.c.c.b. is to exhibit by hand a countable characteristic neighbourhood basis of any open subgroup by considering intersection of maximal open normal subgroups.

Definition 4.6. Let U be an h.c.c.b. profinite group. For any open subgroup $V \leq U$, let $\rho_V : \mathrm{Aut}(V) \to \mathrm{Comm}(U)$ be the natural homomorphism and endow $\mathrm{Aut}(V)$ with the compact-open topology. The **Aut-topology** on $\mathrm{Comm}(U)$ is defined by the following sub-base of identity neighbourhood :

$$\mathcal{B}_U = \{H \leq \mathrm{Comm}(U) \mid \rho_V^{-1}(H) \text{ is open in } \mathrm{Aut}(V) \text{ for all open subgroups } V \text{ of } U\}.$$

As explained in [1], Proposition 7.3, this turns $\mathrm{Comm}(U)$ into a topological group.

Definition 4.7. Let G be a locally compact group. The **Braconnier** topology on $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ is defined by the following sub-base of identity neighbourhood :

$$\mathcal{U}(K,U) = \{\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(G) \mid \forall\, x \in K,\ \phi(x) \in xU \text{ and } \phi^{-1}(x) \in xU\},$$

where $K \subseteq G$ is compact and $U \subseteq G$ is an identity neighbourhood (see e.g. [5], Appendix I for more comments on this topology).

Remark 4.8. The Braconnier topology is the natural one, in the sense that it turns Aut(G) into a topological group, while the compact-open topology on Aut(G) does not in general. However it does in the special case where G is compact. Moreover, given any closed normal subgroup N of G, the adjoint map $\mathrm{Ad}\colon G \to \mathrm{Aut}(N)$ given by the conjugation action is continuous for the Braconnier topology (see [11], Theorem (26.7)).

In order to prove that the Aut-topology on $\mathrm{Comm}(U)$ coincide with the Braconnier topology on $\mathrm{Aut}(G(k))$, we use the following result due to Barnea–Ershov–Weigel.

Proposition 4.9. *Let U be an h.c.c.b. profinite group such that $\mathrm{Comm}(U)$ with the Aut-topology is Hausdorff. Suppose that $\mathrm{Comm}(U)$ is a topological group with respect to some topology $\mathcal{T}$ and that there exists an open subgroup V of U such that*

(i) The index $[\mathrm{Comm}(U) : \mathrm{Aut}(V)]$ is countable.

(ii) $\mathrm{Aut}(V)$ is an open compact subgroup of $(\mathrm{Comm}(U), \mathcal{T})$.

(iii) If N is an open subgroup of V and $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence in $\mathrm{Aut}(V)$ such that $f_n \to 1$ with respect to $\mathcal{T}$, then $f_n(N) = N$ for sufficiently large n.

Then $(\mathrm{Comm}(U), \mathcal{T})$ *is locally compact, second countable, and* $\mathcal{T}$ *coincides with the Aut-topology.*

Proof. See Proposition 8.8 in [1] for the fact that $\mathrm{Comm}(U)$ is a locally compact group. Since U is h.c.c.b., it follows that $\mathrm{Aut}(V)$ is a compact metrisable group with respect to the compact-open topology. By definition of the Aut-topology, the natural embedding $\mathrm{Aut}(V) \to \mathrm{Comm}(U)$ is continuous, and is thus a homeomorphism onto its image. Therefore $\mathrm{Comm}(U)$ is metrisable. Moreover it is σ-compact since $[\mathrm{Comm}(U) : \mathrm{Aut}(V)]$ is countable. This confirms that $\mathrm{Comm}(U)$ is second countable. □

The following result is a straightforward adaption of Example 8.1 from [1] to our situation.

Proposition 4.10. *Let* H *be an absolutely simple, simply connected algebraic group over a local field* k*, and let* U *be an open compact subgroup of* $H(k)$*. Then the canonical isomorphism* $\mathrm{Comm}(U) \simeq \mathrm{Aut}(H(k))$ *of Corollary 4.3 is an isomorphism of topological groups, where* $\mathrm{Comm}(U)$ *has the* Aut*-topology and* $\mathrm{Aut}(H(k))$ *has the Braconnier topology. In particular* $\mathrm{Aut}(H(k))$ *is a t.d.l.c.s.c. group.*

Proof. As noted in Example 4.5, U is h.c.c.b. We next claim that $\mathrm{Comm}(U)$ is Hausdorff. Indeed, $\mathrm{Comm}(U) = \mathrm{Comm}(V)$ for some open subgroup V having a trivial center, so that $QZ(V)$ is trivial (to prove this last assertion, one can argue as in [1], Proposition 5.1). Using [1], Proposition 2.5, this implies the claim.

The desired conclusion will follow from Proposition 4.9. In order to check the three conditions, we first remark that, since every automorphism of U extends to the whole of $H(k)$, we have

$$\mathrm{Aut}(U) = \{\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(H(k)) \mid \varphi(U) = U\} = \mathcal{U}(U, U). \tag{1}$$

We now check the three conditions successively.

(i) The index of $\mathrm{Aut}(U)$ in $\mathrm{Comm}(U)$ is countable. Indeed, ϕ, $\psi \in \mathrm{Comm}(U)$ are in the same coset modulo $\mathrm{Aut}(U)$ if and only if $\phi(U) = \psi(U)$. Therefore it suffices to check that U has a countable orbit under $\mathrm{Comm}(U) = \mathrm{Aut}(H(k))$. This is indeed the case, since $H(k)$ is second countable, and thus has countably many compact open subgroups.

(ii) In view of (1), $\mathrm{Aut}(U)$ is open in $\mathrm{Aut}(H(k))$ by the definition of the Braconnier topology.

(iii) Let N be an open subgroup of U, and let $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence converging to 1 in $\mathrm{Aut}(H(k))$. Then, for n large enough, $f_n \in \mathcal{U}(N, N) = \{\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(H(k)) \mid \varphi(N) = N\}$, as wanted. □

If U is an open compact subgroup of G, we have a canonical map $G \to \mathrm{Comm}(U)$; we end this section by verifying its continuity.

Lemma 4.11. *Let* U *be an h.c.c.b. profinite group and let* G *be a topological group containing* U *as a locally normal subgroup. Assume that* G *commensurates* U*. Then the canonical map* $\varphi\colon G \to \mathrm{Comm}(U)$ *is continuous, where* $\mathrm{Comm}(U)$ *has the* Aut*-topology.*

Proof. Let $W = N_G(U)$, which is open by assumption. It suffices to prove that the restriction of φ to W is continuous at the identity. Observe that φ factors through $\rho_U\colon \mathrm{Aut}(U) \to \mathrm{Comm}(U)$, which is continuous by definition of the Aut-topology. Moreover the adjoint map $W \to \mathrm{Aut}(U)$ is continous by Remark 4.8, so that the composed map $W \to \mathrm{Aut}(U) \to \mathrm{Comm}(U)$ is continuous as well. □

4.2 Decomposition into hereditarily just-infinite factors

We now come to the heart of our toolbox, which consists of Pink's results from [14]. We start by repeating one of the main theorems from loc. cit.

Theorem 4.12. *Let k be a local field and let Γ be a compact subgroup of $GL_n(k)$. There exist closed normal subgroups $U_3 \leq U_2 \leq U_1$ of Γ such that*

(i) U_1 is of finite index in Γ.

(ii) U_1/U_2 is abelian of finite exponent.

(iii) There exists a local field k' of the same characteristic and residue characteristic as k, a Zariski-connected, semisimple adjoint algebraic group H over k', with universal covering $\pi\colon \tilde{H} \to H$, and an open compact subgroup $\Delta \leq \tilde{H}(k')$ such that $U_2/U_3 \simeq \pi_{k'}(\Delta)$ as topological groups.

(iv) U_3 is solvable of derived length $\leq n$.

Proof. See [14], Corollary 0.5. □

It will be crucial for our purposes to arrange that the subquotient U_2/U_3 is the direct product of h.j.i. groups. This is achieved by the following.

Theorem 4.13 (Extended version of [14], Corollary 0.5)**.** *Let k be a local field and let Γ be a compact subgroup of $GL_n(k)$. There exist closed normal subgroups $U_3 \leq U_2 \leq U_1$ of Γ such that :*

(i) U_1 is of finite index in Γ.

(ii) U_1/U_2 is abelian of finite exponent.

(iii) There exist local fields $k'_1, \ldots, k'_m$ of the same characteristic and residue characteristic than k, Zariski-connected, absolutely simple adjoint algebraic k'_i-group H_i, with universal covering $\pi_i\colon \tilde{H}_i \to H_i$, and open compact subgroups $\Delta_i \leq \tilde{H}_i(k'_i)$ such that $U_2/U_3 \simeq \pi_1(\Delta_1) \times \cdots \times \pi_m(\Delta_m)$ as topological groups. In particular, the subquotient U_2/U_3 is a direct product of non-virtually abelian h.j.i. groups.

(iv) U_3 is solvable of derived length $\leq n$.

Proof. Retain the notation of Theorem 4.12. As recalled in §2.1, we may decompose $\tilde{H}$ as the direct product of Weil restrictions $\prod_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{R}_{k'_i/k'}\tilde{H}_i$, where each $\tilde{H}_i$ is an absolutely simple, simply connected algebraic group over a finite separable extension k'_i of k'. Let $G_i = \mathcal{R}_{k'_i/k'}\tilde{H}_i(k')$.

Now, the compact group Δ appearing in (iii) of Theorem 4.12 is an open compact subgroup of $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_m$. Therefore there exists an open compact subgroup Δ_i for G_i such that $\Lambda = \Delta_1 \times \cdots \times \Delta_m$ is contained in Δ. Thus $\pi(\Lambda) \simeq (\pi_1)_{k'_1}(\Delta_1) \times \cdots \times (\pi_m)_{k'_m}(\Delta_m)$ is an open subgroup of U_2/U_3. However, it is not clear a priori that it is normalised by Γ/U_3. In order to ensure that, it suffices to apply Lemma 3.7 to the group U_2/U_3. This shows that, upon replacing each Δ_i by a suitable open subgroup, the image $\pi(\Lambda)$ is indeed an open subgroup of U_2/U_3 of the desired form, which is moreover normalised by Γ/U_3.

Let U'_2 denote the preimage of $\pi(\Lambda)$. Now the quotient U_1/U'_2 is finite-by-{abelian of finite exponent}. We may thus replace U_1 by a smaller open normal subgroup U'_1 of Γ containing U'_2 to ensure that U'_1/U'_2 is indeed abelian of finite exponent. Now the normal chain $U_3 \leq U'_2 \leq U'_1$ of Γ satisfies all the requested properties. □

To capture the properties of the compact factors appearing in (iii) of Theorem 4.13, we introduce the following terminology.

Definition 4.14. A compact h.j.i. group Γ is called **algebraic** if there is a local field k and a Zariski-connected, absolutely simple, adjoint algebraic k-group H with universal cover $\pi\colon \tilde{H} \to H$, and a compact open subgroup Δ of $\tilde{H}(k)$ such that Γ is isomorphic to $\pi(\Delta)$.

5 The global structure of locally linear groups

5.1 Proof of the main theorem

The following result is a reformulation of Theorem 1.1 from the introduction, using the terminology introduced in §3.3.

Theorem 5.1. *Let G be a t.d.l.c. group having an open compact subgroup which is linear over a local field k. Then G has a series of closed normal subgroups:*

$$\{1\} \trianglelefteq R \trianglelefteq G_1 \trianglelefteq G_0 \trianglelefteq G$$

enjoying the following properties.

The group $R = R_{[A]}(G)$ is the $[A]$-regular radical of G and is locally solvable. The group G_0 is an open characteristic subgroup of finite index in G. The quotient group $H_0 = G_0/R$, if non-trivial, has non-trivial closed normal subgroups, say $M_1, \dots, M_m$, satisfying the following.

(i) For some $l \leq m$ and all $i \leq l$, the group M_i is a topologically simple algebraic group over a local field k_i, of the same characteristic and residue characteristic as k. In particular M_i is compactly generated and abstractly simple.

(ii) For all $j > l$, the group M_j is compact, h.j.i., and algebraic (in the sense of Definition 4.14) over a local field k_j, of the same characteristic and residue characteristic as k.

(iii) Every non-trivial closed normal subgroup N of H_0 contains M_i for some $i \leq l$, or contains an open subgroup of M_j for some $j > l$.

(iv) The quotient group $H_1 = G_1/R$ coincides with the product $M_1 \dots M_m \cong M_1 \times \cdots \times M_m$, which is closed in H_0. In particular, H_1 is compactly generated. Moreover $H_0/H_1 = G_0/G_1$ is locally abelian.

Proof. Let $U \leq G$ be a compact open subgroup which is linear over k. Let also $H = G/R$ and V denote the image of U in H. Theorem 4.13 applied to the group U yields closed normal subgroup $U_3 \leq U_2 \leq U_1$ satisfying the properties (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) from that statement.

Claim 1. *U_3 is contained in R as an open subgroup. In particular R is locally solvable.*

The image of U_3 in H is a solvable locally normal subgroup. It must therefore be trivial, since H is locally C-stable by Theorem 3.10. Thus $U_3 \leq R$.

Assume now for a contradiction that U_3 is not open in R. Then $U \cap R$ contains U_3 as a closed normal subgroup of infinite index. Since $U \cap R$ is $[A]$-regular in U by Theorem 3.10, it follows that the image of $U \cap R$ in U/U_3 contains a non-trivial locally normal subgroup

belonging to $[A]$. However, by Lemma 3.8 and Theorem 4.13, every non-trivial locally normal subgroup of U/U_3 contains a locally normal subgroup which is h.j.i. and algebraic. Those subgroups do not belong to $[A]$. This is a contradiction, and the claim stands proven.

Claim 2. *There exist closed normal subgroups $V_2 \leq V_1$ of V such that*

(i) V_1 is of finite index in V.

(ii) V_1/V_2 is abelian of finite exponent.

(iii) There exist local fields $k'_1, \ldots, k'_m$ of the same characteristic and residue characteristic than k, Zariski-connected, absolutely simple adjoint algebraic k'_i-group H_i, with universal covering $\pi_i \colon \tilde{H}_i \to H_i$, and open compact subgroups $\Delta_i \leq \tilde{H}_i(k'_i)$ such that $V_2 \simeq \pi_1(\Delta_1) \times \cdots \times \pi_m(\Delta_m)$ as topological groups. In particular, the group V_2 is a direct product of non-virtually abelian h.j.i. groups.

We denote by V_i the image of U_i in V. Then V_i is a closed normal subgroup of V (because U_i is compact), and V_3 is trivial by Claim 1.

Notice that V_1 and V_2 satisfy conditions (i) and (ii) from the claim, in view of the corresponding properties of U_1 and U_2. It remains to check that V_2 satisfies (iii). Since $V_2 \simeq U_2R/R \simeq U_2/U_2 \cap R$, it suffices to show that $U_2 \cap R$ is trivial in view of Theorem 4.13(iii). By Claim 1, the group U_3 is contained as an open subgroup of $U_2 \cap R$, so that the image of $U_2 \cap R$ in U_2/U_3 is a finite normal subgroup, and is thus trivial by Theorem 4.13(iii) and Lemma 3.6. This shows that $U_2 \cap R = U_3$, so that $V_2 \simeq U_2/U_3$. The claim stands proven.

Claim 3. *The set of atoms of $\mathcal{LN}(H)$ coincides with $\{[\pi_1(\Delta_1)], \ldots, [\pi_m(\Delta_m)]\}$, and every non-zero element of $\mathcal{LN}(H)$ contains an atom. In particular H has an open characteristic subgroup H_0 containing V_2, which commensurates $\pi_i(\Delta_i)$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, m$.*

Since V_1 is open in H, we have $\mathcal{LN}(H) = \mathcal{LN}(V_1)$. In view of Claim 2, the hypotheses of Lemma 3.8 are satisfied by V_1. This proves the desired assertions on $\mathcal{LN}(H)$.

Now the H-action on $\mathcal{LN}(H)$ permutes the atoms, and we define H_0 to be the kernel of that permutation action. Then H_0 is indeed open, characteristic and of finite index in H, and commensurates $\pi_i(\Delta_i)$ for all i. Since V_2 normalises $\pi_i(\Delta_i)$ for all i, we have $V_2 \leq H_0$, as claimed.

Claim 4. *For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, let $\varphi_i \colon H_0 \to \mathrm{Comm}(\pi_i(\Delta_i))$ be the homomorphism induced by Claim 3. Then the product homomorphism*

$$\varphi = \varphi_1 \times \cdots \times \varphi_m \colon H_0 \to \mathrm{Comm}(\pi_1(\Delta_1)) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{Comm}(\pi_m(\Delta_m))$$

is continuous and injective, where each factor is endowed with the Aut-topology.

In view of Lemma 4.11, the map φ is a product of continuous homomorphisms, and is thus continuous. Let us now check its injectivity.

In view of Definition 3.1, we have $\mathrm{Ker}\,\varphi \leq QC_{H_0}(V_2)$, and it suffices to check that V_2 has trivial quasi-centraliser in H_0.

Recalling that H, and thus also H_0, is locally C-stable, we deduce from Lemma 3.9 and Proposition 3.14 from [6] that $QC_{H_0}(V_2C_{H_0}(V_2)) = 1$. Since V_1 is open in H, we have $1 = QC_{H_0}(V_2C_{H_0}(V_2)) = QC_{H_0}((V_2C_{H_0}(V_2)) \cap V_1) = QC_{H_0}(V_2C_{V_1}(V_2))$. Therefore it is enough to show that the centraliser $C_{V_1}(V_2)$ is trivial. Now $C_{V_1}(V_2) \cap V_2 \leq QZ(V_2)$, which is trivial by Proposition 3.2. Thus, $C_{V_1}(V_2)$ embeds into V_1/V_2, and is thus abelian by Claim 2. But $C_{V_1}(V_2)$ is also locally normal in H (see e.g. [6], Lemma 2.1), and must therefore be trivial because H is locally C-stable.

Claim 5. *Let $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Then there is an isomorphism of topological groups*

$$\mathrm{Comm}(\pi_i(\Delta_i)) \simeq \mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{H}_i(k_i')),$$

where $\mathrm{Comm}(\pi_i(\Delta_i))$ *has the Aut-topology and* $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{H}_i(k_i'))$ *has the Braconnier topology.*

By Corollary 4.3 and Proposition 4.9, we have an isomorphism of topological groups $\mathrm{Comm}(\Delta_i) \simeq \mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{H}_i(k_i'))$. Since $\mathrm{Ker}\,\pi_i$ is finite, there is an open subgroup $\Delta_i' \leq \Delta_i$ which intersects $\mathrm{Ker}\,\pi_i$ trivially. Thus π_i induces an isomorphism of profinite groups between Δ_i' and its image, so that

$$\mathrm{Comm}(\pi_i(\Delta_i)) = \mathrm{Comm}(\pi_i(\Delta_i')) \simeq \mathrm{Comm}(\Delta_i') = \mathrm{Comm}(\Delta_i).$$

The claim follows.

Claim 6. *Let $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and set*

$$M_i = \varphi_i^{-1}(\mathrm{Inn}(\tilde{H}_i(k_i'))) \cap \bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathrm{Ker}\,\varphi_j,$$

where $\mathrm{Inn}(\tilde{H}_i(k_i'))$ *is viewed as a subgroup of* $\mathrm{Comm}(\pi_i(\Delta_i))$ *by means of Claim 5. Then M_i is a closed normal subgroup of H_0, and exactly one of the following assertions holds:*

(a) M_i is a compact, h.j.i. group which is algebraic over k_i'.

(b) $M_i \simeq \tilde{H}_i(k_i')/Z(\tilde{H}_i(k_i'))$, and $\tilde{H}_i$ is isotropic over k_i'. In particular M_i is a topologically simple algebraic group over k_i'.

We first check that the quotient group $\tilde{H}_i(k_i')/Z(\tilde{H}_i(k_i'))$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Inn}(\tilde{H}_i(k_i'))$ endowed with the Braconnier topology. Indeed, by Proposition 4.10, the group $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{H}_i(k_i'))$ is locally compact, and by Remark 4.8 the canonical embedding $\tilde{H}_i(k_i')/Z(\tilde{H}_i(k_i')) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{H}_i(k_i'))$ is continuous. From Proposition 2.1, we deduce that the latter embedding is a homeomorphism onto its image, namely $\mathrm{Inn}(\tilde{H}_i(k_i'))$, and that the latter is closed in $\mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{H}_i(k_i'))$. This also implies that M_i is a closed normal subgroup of H_0.

We next observe that the restriction of φ_i to M_i is a homeomorphism onto its image. Indeed $(\varphi_i)|_{M_i}$ is injective by Claim 4. Moreover by Claim 2, we have $\pi_i(\Delta_i) \leq M_i$, and $\varphi_i(\pi_i(\Delta_i))$ is open in $\mathrm{Inn}(\tilde{H}_i(k_i')) \simeq \tilde{H}_i(k_i')/Z(\tilde{H}_i(k_i'))$. Thus $(\varphi_i)|_{M_i}$ is a continuous isomorphism onto an open, hence closed, subgroup of $\mathrm{Inn}(\tilde{H}_i(k_i'))$.

Since $\mathrm{Inn}(\tilde{H}_i(k_i'))$ is second countable (see Poposition 4.10) and $(\varphi_i)|_{M_i}$ is injective, we deduce that the compact group $\pi_i(\Delta_i)$ is of countable index in M_i. It follows that M_i is σ-compact. By the Open Map Theorem (see [11], Theorem (5.29)), we deduce that the map $(\varphi_i)|_{M_i}$ is open, as requested.

Now if M_i is compact, the desired claim follows by construction (see Theorem 2.6 and Definition 4.14). If M_i is non-compact, then $\varphi_i(M_i)$ is a non-compact open subgroup of $\mathrm{Inn}(\tilde{H}_i(k_i'))$ so that $\tilde{H}_i(k_i')$ is non-compact. Hence $\tilde{H}_i$ is isotropic by [16]. By Theorem 2.2, the only non-compact open subgroup of $\mathrm{Inn}(\tilde{H}_i(k_i')) \simeq \tilde{H}_i(k_i')/Z(\tilde{H}_i(k_i')) = \tilde{H}_i(k_i')^+/Z(\tilde{H}_i(k_i')^+)$ is the whole group (see Theorem 2.4 for the last equality). The claim follows.

Claim 7. *We have $[M_i, M_j] = 1$ for $i \neq j$. Moreover, the product $H_1 = M_1 \dots M_m \cong M_1 \times \cdots \times M_m$ is closed in H_0, and the quotient H_0/H_1 is locally abelian.*

The injectivity of φ, established in Claim 4, ensures that the M_i's commute pairwise, and that the canonical map from $M_1 \times \cdots \times M_m$ onto the subgroup $S = M_1 \dots M_m$ is a continuous isomorphism. To see that H_1 is closed, consider the canonical projection $H_0 \to H_0/\overline{M_2 \dots M_m}$. If M_1 is compact, then it has closed image. If M_1 is not compact, then Claim 6 and Proposition 2.1 ensure that M_1 has closed image as well. Hence the product $M_1\overline{M_2 \dots M_m}$ is closed in H_0, and a straightforward induction shows that H_1 is closed as well.

Finally, since $V_2 \leq H_1$, it follows from Claim 2 that H_0/H_1 is locally abelian.

Claim 8. *Every non-trivial closed normal subgroup N of H_0 contains some non-compact M_i, or an open subgroup of some compact M_j.*

The group $V \cap N$ is a locally normal subgroup of H_0, and therefore there is some i such that $[\pi_i(\Delta_i)] \leq [V \cap N]$ by Claim 3. If M_i is compact, this yields the desired assertion. Otherwise we see that $N \cap M_i$ is an open normal subgroup of M_i, so that $M_i \leq N$ by Claim 6. The claim stands proven

To conclude the proof, we denote by G_0 (resp. G_1) the preimage of H_0 (resp. H_1) in G, and re-order the set $\{M_1, \dots, M_m\}$ so that the non-compact elements come first. We see that all the requested assertions have been established in the claims above: Assertions (v) in Claim 7, Assertion (i) and (ii) in Claim 6 and Assertion (iii) in Claim 8. □

5.2 Corollaries

Proof of Corollary 1.2. We apply Theorem 5.1, which yields subgroups M_i and G_0 of G. Let A be the elementary radical of G_0, see Theorem 3.17.

Claim 1. *We have $R \leq A$. Moreover A/R coincides with the elementary radical of $H_0 = G_0/R$.*

By Theorem 3.18, we have $R \leq A$ and R is elementary. Therefore A/R contains the elementary radical of H_0. The claim follows, since the quotient group A/R is elementary by Theorem 4.6(c) from [19].

Claim 2. *Set $W = \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} C_{H_0}(M_i) \leq H_0$, where l is as in Theorem 5.1. Then W is compact-by-{locally abelian}. In particular it is elementary.*

We have $M_j \leq W$ for all $j > l$ by Theorem 5.1(i). Thus $\tilde{W} = M_{l+1} \dots M_m$ is a compact normal subgroup of W. Moreover $W \cap (M_1 \dots M_l) = 1$, since the latter product has trivial center in view of Theorem 5.1(ii) and (v). It follows that $W/\tilde{W}$ embeds into H_0/H_1, which is locally abelian. This implies that W is elementary by Proposition 3.16(ii).

Claim 3. *Every non-trivial closed normal subgroup N of H_0 which is not contained in W contains some M_i with $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$.*

Assume that N does not contain any non-compact M_i. Then $[N, M_i] \leq N \cap M_i = 1$ since M_i is topologically simple. Thus $N \leq W$ as desired.

Claim 4. *W coincides with the elementary radical of H_0.*

That W is contained in the elementary radical follows from Claim 2. If that inclusion were proper, then the elementary radical of H_0 would contain some non-compact M_i by Claim 3. This is impossible because every closed subgroup of an elementary group is elementary (see [19], Theorem 4.6(b)), while non-discrete compactly generated topologically simple groups are not elementary (see [20], Proposition 6.2).

To conclude the proof, we remark that $H' = H_0/W$ is isomorphic to G_0/A in view of Claims 1 and 4. Thus it suffices to show all the desired assertions for the quotient H_0/W. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, we define a group M_i' as the image of M_i in the quotient $H' = H_0/W$. That image is injective because M_i is simple, and closed by Proposition 2.1. Thus each M_i' is a topologically simple algebraic group over k_i'. The assertions that the M_i' are precisely the minimal normal subgroups of H_0/W, and that every non-trivial closed normal subgroup contains one of them, follow from Claim 3. That $H_1' = M_1' \dots M_l'$ is closed follows from the same argument as in the proof of Claim 7 in Theorem 5.1. Finally, that $(H_0/W)/H_1'$ is locally abelian follows from Theorem 5.1(v). Therefore that quotient is elementary by Proposition 3.16(ii). □

Proof of Corollary 1.3. Assume that G is non-discrete. Since it is topologically simple, its $[A]$-regular radical R is either trivial or the whole of G.

Assume that $R = G$. Then G is locally solvable by Theorem 5.1. Moreover Theorem 5.3 of [7] implies that G is not compactly generated.

Assume now that $R = 1$. By the definition of the $[A]$-regular radical, G is not locally abelian. Hence the product $M_1 \times \cdots \times M_m$ from Theorem 5.1 is non-trivial. Since G is topologically simple, we have $m = 1$ and $G = M_1$. Since G is not compact (because a topologically simple profinite group is finite, hence discrete), we obtain the desired conclusion. □

Proof of Corollary 1.4. Immediate from Corollary 1.3. □

Proof of Corollary 1.5. Each class of groups listed in the statement consists of linear groups. Assume conversely that G is a compactly generated, topologically simple, locally compact group that is linear. If G is connected, then it is a simple Lie group, as a consequence of the solution to Hilbert's fifth problem. Otherwise G is totally disconnected. If it is non-discrete, then it is algebraic by Corollary 1.4. If it is discrete, then it is residually finite by a theorem of Mal'cev (see e.g. [13] Window 7, Proposition 8), hence a finite simple group. □

5.3 Some examples

In this section, we describe a family of examples of t.d.l.c. groups satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1, and illustrating some peculiar properties that the quotient $H_0 = G_0/R$ can have in general. For the construction, we use the Nottingham group.

Definition 5.2. The **Nottingham group**, denoted J, is the group of normalized continuous automorphisms of the ring $\mathbb{F}_p[\![T]\!]$. Otherwise stated, an element $g \in J$ is defined by its action on T and is of the following form

$$g(T) = T + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} a_i T^i, \quad a_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$$

We will use the universality of the Nottingham group, asserted in the following.

Theorem 5.3 (Main result in [4])**.** *Every countably based pro-p group can be embedded, as a closed subgroup, in the Nottingham group.*

The following construction shows that the group H_0 from Theorem 1.1 need not be second countable, and that it need not have any maximal compact normal subgroup.

Example 5.4. Consider the algebraic group SL_n over the local field $\mathbb{F}_p(\!(T)\!)$. Then $U = SL_n(\mathbb{F}_p[\![T]\!])/Z(SL_n(\mathbb{F}_p[\![T]\!]))$ is a compact linear group which is h.j.i. by Theorem 2.6. Let L be a t.d.l.c. group admitting a continuous embedding into the Nottingham group J. Then the semi-direct product $G = U \rtimes L$ is a t.d.l.c. group.

We claim that G is locally C-stable. Let us first check that $QZ(G)$ is trivial. First observe that $QZ(U)$ is trivial by [1], Proposition 5.1. Hence, if $ul \in QZ(U \rtimes L)$, then $l \in L$ must be non-trivial. Since a non-trivial element in J acts by outer automorphism on U, we deduce that $QZ(G)$ is trivial.

We now show that G has no non-trivial locally normal abelian subgroup. Arguing by contradiction, let K be such a subgroup. Since $QZ(G)$ is trivial, K must be infinite. Since U is h.j.i. but not virtually abelian, the intersection $U \cap K$ must be trivial. Thus K commutes with U. This is impossible, because L acts on U by outer automorphisms, so that $C_G(U) = 1$. This confirms the claim.

The claim implies that $R = 1$, and that $G = G_0 = H_0$ in the notation of Theorem 1.1. We now specialise this family of examples in two ways.

Taking $L = J$, endowed with the discrete topology, we see that G is a metrisable, locally linear, t.d.l.c. group which is not second countable.

Now consider $L = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{Z}/p^n\mathbf{Z}$, with the discrete topology. It embeds in the pro-p group $\prod_{n\in\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{Z}/p^n\mathbf{Z}$, which itself embeds in J by Theorem 5.3. In this situation, we see that G is a locally linear, t.d.l.c.s.c. group, but has no maximal compact normal subgroup.

References

[1] Yiftach Barnea, Mikhail Ershov and Thomas Weigel, *Abstract commensurators of profinite groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 363 (2011), 5381-5417. 8, 12, 13, 14, 21

[2] Armand Borel and Jacques Tits, *Homomorphismes "abstraits" de groupes algébriques simples*, Annals of Mathematics, Second Series, Vol. 97, No. 3 (1973), pp. 499-571. 5, 6

[3] Marc Burger and Shahar Mozes, *CAT(-1)-spaces, divergence groups and their commensurators*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society, Volume 9, No. 1 (1996), pp. 57-93. 5

[4] Rachel Camina, *Subgroups of the Nottingham Group*, Journal of Algebra 196 (1997), pp. 101-113. 20

[5] Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace and Nicolas Monod, *Decomposing locally compact groups into simple pieces*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 150 (2011), no. 1, 97-128. 13

[6] Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace, Colin D. Reid and George A. Willis, *Locally normal subgroups of totally disconnected groups. Part I: General theory*, preprint, 2013. 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 17

[7] Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace, Colin D. Reid and George A. Willis, *Locally normal subgroups of totally disconnected groups. Part II: Compactly generated simple groups*, preprint, 2014. 3, 7, 20

[8] Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace and Bertrand Rémy, *Simplicity and superrigidity of twin building lattices*, Invent. Math. 176 Nr. 1 (2009), pp. 169–221. 4

[9] Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace and Bertrand Rémy, *Simplicity of twin tree lattices with non-trivial commutation relations*, preprint, 2013, to appear in the proceedings of the Ohio State U. special year on Geometric Group Theory. 4

[10] Brian Conrad, *Reductive group schemes*, `http://math.stanford.edu/~conrad/papers/luminysga3smf.pdf` 4

[11] Edwin Hewitt and Kenneth A. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis, volume 1*, Springer-Verlag, second edition, 1979. 13, 18

[12] Shizuo Kakutani and Kunihiko Kodaira, *Über das Haarsche Mass in der lokal bikompakten Gruppe*, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo 20, (1944). 444–450. 11, 12

[13] Alexander Lubotzky and Dan Segal, *Subgroup growth*, Progress in Mathematics Birkhäuser, 2001. 20

[14] Richard Pink, *Compact subgroups of linear algebraic groups*, J. Algebra 206 (1998), no. 2, 438-504. 3, 12, 15

[15] Vladimir Platonov and Andrei Rapinchuk, *Algebraic groups and number theory*, Academic Press, 1994. 4

[16] Gopal Prasad, *Elementary proof of a theorem of Bruhat-Tits-Rousseau and of a theorem of Tits*, Bulletin de la S.M.F., tome 110 (1982), pp. 197-202. 5, 18

[17] Carl Riehm, *the congruence subgroup problem over local fields*, American Journal of Mathematics Vol. 92, No. 3 (1970), pp. 771-778 6

[18] Jacques Tits, *Algebraic and abstract simple groups*, Annals of Math. 80 (1964), pp. 313-329. 5

[19] Phillip Wesolek, *A note on relative amenability*, preprint, 2014. 2, 10, 11, 12, 19

[20] Phillip Wesolek, *Elementary totally disconnected locally compact groups*, preprint, 2014. 2, 6, 9, 10, 11, 19

[21] Phillip Wesolek, *Totally disconnected locally compact groups locally of finite rank*, preprint, 2014. 3